	Case 1:20-cv-00547-DAD-BAM Docume	nt 32 Filed 08/17/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	GEORGE E. JACOBS, IV,	No. 1:20-cv-00547-DAD-BAM (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14	CDCR, et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING CASE
15	Defendants.	(Doc. Nos. 23, 31)
16		
17		
18	Plaintiff George E. Jacobs, IV is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis	
19	in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United	
20	States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On April 6, 2021, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations,	
22	recommending that this action be dismissed with prejudice due to plaintiff's failure to state a	
23	claim, failure to obey a court order, and failure to prosecute. (Doc. No. 11.)	
24	On July 13, 2021, the undersigned issued an order granting plaintiff one, final twenty-one	
25	(21) day extension of time to file an amended complaint based in part on plaintiff's assurances	
26	that he was prepared to file an amended complaint addressing the previously noted deficiencies in	
27	his original complaint. (Doc. No. 31 at 5.) The court advised plaintiff that "any failure to file an	
28	amended complaint or notice of voluntary dismissal will result in the dismissal of his complaint	
	1	

Case 1:20-cv-00547-DAD-BAM Document 32 Filed 08/17/21 Page 2 of 2 with prejudice." (Id.) Plaintiff has not filed any amended complaint or motion for a further extension of time to do so and the date by which he was to file an amended complaint has again passed. In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. Accordingly, 1. The findings and recommendations issued on April 6, 2021 (Doc. No. 6) are now adopted in full; This action is dismissed with prejudice; and 2. 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. IT IS SO ORDERED. August 17, 2021 Dated: